Reliability of a Tablet Computer-Based Dyslexia Screening Application Using an Eye-Tracking System
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Purpose: The early detection and management of dyslexia are crucial for preventing irreversible educational gaps and various negative consequences for affected students. However, diagnosing dyslexia is challenging because it requires a comprehensive assessment. Dyslexia screening tests that utilize fast, automated, computer-based technology can be useful for early identification and management. In this paper, we introduce a tablet computer-based dyslexia screening application that uses an eye-tracking system and verify its reliability.

Methods: The study included 200 participants between 8 and 13 years of age from an elementary school, all of whom underwent dyslexia screening tests twice. The screening was conducted using the VisualCamp SeeSo eye-tracking Android Software Development Kit v3.0.0, implemented on Samsung Galaxy Tab S5e tablets. The eye-tracking system measured reading speed by gaze, mean gaze fixation time, gaze fixation frequency, saccadic length, and regression ratio. To assess the reliability of the two sets of measurements, the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was employed.

Results: Excellent reliability was found for measurements of gaze fixation frequency (ICC=0.83), gaze fixation mean time (ICC=0.82), and reading speed by gaze (ICC=0.76), and good reliability for measurements of regression ratio (ICC=0.75) and saccadic length (ICC=0.72).

Conclusion: This study demonstrated that the tablet computer-based dyslexia screening application reliably measured eye movements in subjects with dyslexia. Furthermore, the application proved to be highly reliable and potentially suitable for use in clinical or school settings, eliminating the need for a laboratory environment and extensive equipment.
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Introduction

Dyslexia originates from the Greek words dys, which means “difficulty,” and lexia, meaning “words” [1,2]. The term dyslexia was first used in 1883 by German ophthalmologist Berlin [3], who reported six patients with reading difficulties in his research. Even earlier, in 1877, another German physician, Kussmaul [4], reported a patient who had normal intelligence, speech, and vision but had reading difficulty, which he called “word blindness.” Over the past 100 years, the term “dyslexia” has become increasingly common; nonetheless, the existence and definition of dyslexia have been extensively debated. Currently, through the contributions of
many researchers and recent advances in neuroimaging technology, dyslexia is considered a disorder caused by neurobiological defects associated with reading skills [5,6].

In the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5), a specific learning disorder is defined as a neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by difficulty in learning and using academic skills such as reading, writing, and arithmetic. The DSM-5 diagnostic criteria for a specific learning disorder are summarized as follows. (1) Difficulties learning and using academic skills, as indicated by the presence of at least one of the following symptoms that have persisted for at least 6 months, despite the provision of interventions that target those difficulties. (2) The affected academic areas are substantially and quantifiably below those expected for the individual’s chronological age, and cause significant interference with academic or occupational performance, or with activities of daily living. (3) The learning difficulties begin during school-age years but may not become fully manifest until the demands for those affected academic skills exceed the individual’s limited capacities. (4) The learning difficulties are not better accounted for by intellectual disabilities, uncorrected visual or auditory acuity, other mental or neurological disorders, psycho-social adversity, lack of proficiency in the language of academic instruction, or inadequate educational instruction.

In addition, the term dyslexia is used as an alternative to specify a pattern of learning difficulties characterized by problems with accurate or fluent word recognition, poor decoding, and poor spelling abilities [7]. Furthermore, dyslexia is included in the umbrella category of Specific Learning Disability under the U.S. Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), and students with dyslexia can receive special education and services [8].

The early detection and management of dyslexia are crucial for preventing irreversible educational gaps and various negative consequences for affected students. However, diagnosing dyslexia is challenging because it requires a comprehensive assessment of word recognition, decoding, spelling, reading comprehension, and comprehension [9-12]. Currently, screening for dyslexia is not routinely performed in clinical settings. Furthermore, there are limitations for teachers in identifying dyslexic students in the classroom, which is also a significant burden for teachers.

Computerized screening methods with objective measures can help address these issues. In this context, several studies using eye movements to screen for dyslexia have been performed. These studies attempted to determine and apply measurable parameters of eye movement observed in dyslexia. Eye movements in dyslexic children differ from those in normal children [13-17]. Dyslexia is not an eye movement disorder; however, the differences in eye movement reflect problems of accuracy and fluency in the reading process of dyslexia. Recently, smartphones and tablet computers equipped with high-performance sensors and cameras have become widely available. Software on these smartphones and tablet computers could be a promising solution to screen for dyslexia.

Therefore, we developed a tablet computer-based dyslexia screening application software. The present study introduces this application and presents a validation of its reliability, as a preliminary step in the process of identifying subjects with dyslexia.

Materials and Methods

1. Reading materials in the dyslexia screening application

The Department of Korean Language Education at Chuncheon National University of Education collaborated with elementary school teachers to develop reading materials for each grade in the dyslexia screening application. The reading materials for each grade included two articles, and the articles were written with vocabulary, sentences, content, and grammar appropriate for the grade. Each article was written to be as short as possible to minimize the influence of memory on comprehension. Furthermore, the content of the articles was designed to be accessible and understandable without requiring specific background knowledge.

2. Devices and technology used in the dyslexia screening application

The dyslexia screening application was run on Samsung Galaxy Tab S5e tablets (Samsung Electronics, Suwon, Korea). The tablet has a resolution of 1,600×2,560 pixels, a 10.5-inch display, a Qualcomm Snapdragon 670 chipset (SDM 670, Qualcomm, San Diego, CA, USA), and a front-facing selfie camera (8 MP, f/2.0, 26 mm, 1/4″, and 1.12 μm). The dyslexia screening application used the Visual-Camp SeeSo eye-tracking Android Software Development Kit (SDK) v3.0.0 (VisualCamp, Seoul, Korea, https://seeso.io).

3. Participants and study protocol

This study included 200 participants between 8 and 13 years of age attending an elementary school in Changwon, Gyeongsangnam Province. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Seoul St. Mary’s Hospital (KC23RISI0647). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The grade distribution of the participants is summarized in Table 1. All participants underwent the dyslexia screening test twice using the procedure described below. Before reading the presented materials, the eye-tracking system was first calibrated for each participant. Fig. 1 shows the tablet computer screen, and the eye-tracking system was calibrated by looking at a total of five points in the center and four corners. Then, nine words were presented on the screen to guide
the reading process, and the generated gaze data and word position values were used to ensure proper calibration (Fig. 2). After calibration and verification, the reading materials (including two articles) were presented to each participant via the tablet computer screen, and eye-tracking was performed (Fig. 3).

4. Statistical analysis
Reading speed by gaze (words/minute), gaze fixation mean time (ms), gaze fixation frequency (fixation count/100 words), saccadic length (letters), and regression ratio (%) were measured by the eye-tracking system in the dyslexia screening application. Excel

Table 1. Grade distribution of the 200 children between 8 and 13 years of age attending an elementary school

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Total (n=200)</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15 (7.5)</td>
<td>7 (3.5)</td>
<td>8 (4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20 (10.0)</td>
<td>11 (5.5)</td>
<td>9 (4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30 (15.0)</td>
<td>10 (5.0)</td>
<td>20 (10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>36 (18.0)</td>
<td>20 (10.0)</td>
<td>16 (8.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>51 (25.5)</td>
<td>26 (13.0)</td>
<td>25 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>48 (24.0)</td>
<td>28 (14.0)</td>
<td>20 (10.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as number (%).
(Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA), R version 4.3.0 (https://www.r-project.org/), and RStudio (2023.03.0+386, https://www.posit.co/) were used to process and analyze the data. The mean values with standard deviations of eye movement measurements using the eye-tracking system were recorded. The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was used to assess the reliability between two data sets with repeated measurements. The ICC values were calculated using two-way mixed effects and a single measurement model with absolute agreement, and classified according to the following guidelines: values >0.75 indicated excellent reliability; 0.60–0.75, good reliability; 0.40–0.60, fair reliability; and <0.4, poor reliability [18].

Results

The measurements of gaze fixation frequency (fixation count/100 words; first 80.19±30.41, second 84.40±33.44, ICC=0.83), gaze fixation mean time (ms; first 378.09±81.14, second 373.27±79.38, ICC=0.82), and reading speed by gaze (words/minute; first 160.59±51.58, second 154.99±52.17, ICC=0.76) showed excellent reliability. The measurements of regression ratio (%; first 9.65±5.45, second 9.59±5.73, ICC=0.75) and saccadic length (letters; first 6.31±1.44, second 6.42±1.44, ICC=0.72) showed good reliability (Table 2).

Discussion

The results of the present study showed that the eye-tracking system of the tablet computer-based dyslexia screening application could reliably track eye movements during the reading process. In particular, the ICC values of gaze fixation frequency and gaze fixation mean time showed excellent reliability, and all measurements showed good or excellent reliability. In the process of reading, eye movements consist of saccades and fixations. Saccades are rapid jumping eye movements that occur simultaneously in both eyes between two or more fixation phases [19]. During these fixation phases, the text's visual information is processed by the fovea at intervals between saccades [20,21]. Saccades can be directed both forward and backward during reading. Backward saccades, or regressions, are primarily used to revisit text content when reading difficulties arise [19,20,22]. In individuals with dyslexia, the patterns of eye movement during reading are well documented. These patterns include an increase in fixation time and frequency, a reduction in saccade length, a higher rate of backward saccades (regressions), and, as a result, a slower reading speed [13-17]. This study successfully measured these characteristic patterns of the reading process in dyslexia using a tablet-based application.

Dyslexia screening tests using fast, automated technology based on a computer can be useful for the early identification and management of individuals at risk of dyslexia. As mentioned above, the early detection and management of dyslexia are crucial for improving the prognosis and preventing irreversible consequences. In addition, the results of the present study showed the possibility of applying highly reliable dyslexia screening using fast, automated applications through readily available smartphones or tablets, unlike in a laboratory environment requiring a significant amount of equipment. The algorithm of the eye-tracking system (VisualCamp SeeSo v3.0.0) in this dyslexia screening application calculates the user’s gaze data using the front camera image (red, green, and blue [RGB]) of a smartphone or tablet and precisely classifies the calculated gaze data into gaze fixations and saccades through an internal eye movement classification operation. This algorithm is expected to improve the accuracy of eye movement tracking, even when using smartphones or tablets with limited equipment and specifications. Notably, in this study, the ICC values of gaze fixation frequency, gaze fixation mean time, and reading speed by gaze showed excellent reliability for each calculated value. The ICC values for the regression ratio and saccadic length were also good. Moreover, as more gaze data on saccadic movements are collected and the algorithms are refined, the reliability is expected to increase further. With the recent advancements in eye movement tracking technology and the development of sophisticated computational algorithms, this method is also being explored for its potential application in diagnosing and studying a range of disorders, including autism spectrum disorder, schizophrenia, and Parkinson’s disease [23-25].

Although eye movement abnormalities in dyslexia are well established, it is important to recognize that dyslexia is not a disorder of eye movements. Dyslexia is a neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by impairments in accurate or fluent word recognition, poor decoding, and poor spelling abilities. The characteristic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>First</th>
<th>Second</th>
<th>ICC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reading speed by gaze (words/min)</td>
<td>160.59±51.58</td>
<td>154.99±52.17</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaze fixation mean time (ms)</td>
<td>378.09±81.14</td>
<td>373.27±79.38</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaze fixation frequency (fixation count/100 words)</td>
<td>80.19±30.41</td>
<td>84.40±33.44</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saccadic length (letters)</td>
<td>6.31±1.44</td>
<td>6.42±1.44</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regression ratio (%)</td>
<td>9.65±5.45</td>
<td>9.59±5.73</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation. ICC, intraclass correlation coefficient.
eye movements are attributed to impairments with accurate and fluent word recognition due to poor decoding during the reading process [2,13,26]. Nonetheless, assessing eye movements in dyslexia provides objective, quantifiable insights into various reading processes and their associated impairments, without relying on the notion that dyslexia is primarily an eye movement disorder. The key benefit of eye movement assessments lies in their ability to directly evaluate the reading process and its individual components [27,28]. In contrast, current dyslexia screening tests, such as the Korean Language Base Reading Assessment and the Comprehensive Learning Test-Reading, do not assess the reading process itself. Instead, they measure only the comprehension issues that arise from underlying reading difficulties [13]. This limitation can lead to suboptimal screening and assessment, as it may be influenced by various factors unrelated to dyslexia that can impact reading comprehension. Thus, assessments may not accurately identify dyslexia, and the results should be interpreted with caution.

In summary, the results of the present study showed that the tablet computer-based dyslexia screening application, which we recently developed, reliably measured eye movements that are assessed in dyslexia screening. Furthermore, the tablet-based dyslexia screening application, which does not require a laboratory environment with a significant amount of equipment, was shown to be highly reliable and potentially applicable in a clinical or school setting. The tablet computer-based dyslexia screening application has distinct advantages over current screening tests for the assessment of eye movements in dyslexia and has practical implications associated with the reading process [13,27,28].

However, the present study also had several limitations. Dyslexia can coexist with conditions such as speech/language disorders, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, and executive function disorder [29,30]. In addition, intellectual disability or the presence of comorbid conditions may result in symptoms of dyslexia, and in these situations, abnormalities in eye movements may be observed during the reading process. However, in developing a dyslexia screening application, we focused more on evaluating impairments in the reading process than on specific diagnoses. For a screening test, a problem-focused approach is considered more reasonable and practical. In addition, this pilot study was designed to verify the reliability of this dyslexia screening application. Therefore, further studies are necessary to validate our dyslexia screening application and determine acceptable sensitivity and specificity values for use in clinical practice.

In conclusion, the tablet computer-based dyslexia screening application we recently developed showed high reliability in this study. Furthermore, by incorporating eye movement measurements, the computer-based dyslexia screening test has the potential to be a valuable tool for assessing the actual reading process. This study served as a preliminary investigation, confirming the reliability of the screening test. Moving forward, we plan to conduct a case-control study to further establish its validity. Ultimately, the clinical applicability of this or any other screening test will depend on achieving acceptable levels of sensitivity and specificity, which will require a large-scale study with a diverse cohort. Consequently, there is a need for continued efforts to develop superior early screening tools that can improve the prognosis for dyslexic patients and prevent irreversible outcomes.
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