Treatment Response to Acquired Aphasia with Seizures and Prognosis through Electroencephalogram on Cognitive Function
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Introduction

Acquired epileptic aphasia is a sudden or progressive language impairment with an abnormality in the electroencephalogram (EEG) [1-3]. Some researchers have reported that epileptiform EEG discharges can affect not only language impairment but also neurocognitive function [3,4]. Language impairment with an abnormality in the EEG is observed in some epilepsy syndrome, such as electrical status epilepticus during sleep (ESES), continuous spike and wave during slow sleep (CSWS), and Landau-Kleffner syndrome (LKS) [3]. In much of the previous literature, CSWS and ESES were used interchangeably and LKS considered a subtype of CSWS [2-5].

Acquired epileptic aphasia is commonly referred to as LKS, which was first introduced in 1957 [1]. It usually shows auditory agnosia with focal or multifocal spikes or spike and wave dis-
charges on the EEG which are continuous or nearly continuous during sleep [5].

CSWS shows general developmental difficulties including linguistic problems, and more than 85% of continuous spikes and waves in the EEG are seen during sleep. Usually, these are seen as bilateral and symmetric, but it could be shown asymmetric or focal patterns [6-12]. CSWS shows premorbid central nervous system dysfunction whereas LKS rarely indicates such [5].

Acquired aphasia with clinical seizures is a rare disease, and the prognostic factor of treatment and the cognitive outcome were less studied. In this study, we investigated the prognosis of neuropsychological function and therapeutic effect of clinical seizures through EEG in patients who have a language impairment with clinical seizure without distinguishing between LKS and CSWS.

Materials and Methods

We retrospectively analyzed 20 patients (11 males, nine females) with acquired aphasia diagnosed at Severance Children’s Hospital from January 2013 to October 2017. Patients with acquired aphasia were included in the study, with or without seizures. They were assessed via EEG for more than 4 hours, and this test was examined by the International 10 to 20 system. EEG background waves were divided into four patterns: normal, disorganized, generalized slowing (GSW), and focal slowing (FSW). The frequency of spike and wave during sleep (SWS) on the EEG was grouped according to frequency as ≥85% and 50% to 84%, and divided into generalized and focal types according to the pattern. The response to different treatments was analyzed using the antiepileptic drugs (AEDs), valproate (VPA), and levetiracetam (LEV), in addition to other treatments, namely steroid and ketogenic diets (KDs). Treatment effects were assessed by clinical seizure at each outpatient clinic. We consider a positive effect of treatment that the frequency of seizures was reduced by 50% or more, and continued these statuses for more than 6 months. If it did not meet the above criteria, the treatment option was added or changed. The evaluation of neuropsychological function was evaluated through evaluation tools such as Korean Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children. The test was performed at the time of diagnosis and 3 to 6 months later, and the results were evaluated by comparing the two tests.

Patients were enrolled in the study if they showed language impairment irrespective of the type of clinical seizure, including cases with no specific findings on their brain magnetic resonance imaging. We excluded epileptic encephalopathy such as Lennox-Gastaut syndrome, which may accompany other cognitive impairments.

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Yonsei University (IRB no., 4-2016-0080). Informed consent was waived due to the retrospective nature of the study.

Results

In our patient cohort, 11 patients (55%) were males and nine patients (45%) were females. Aphasia occurred at 2 to 9 years of age, with six patients (30%) occurring at the age of 4, three patients (15%) at the age of 3, and three patients (15%) at the age of 7. Most commonly, clinical seizure in acquired aphasia occurred at 2, 3, and 4 years of age. Their clinical seizures were focal motor seizures (12 patients, 60%), generalized motor seizures (six patients, 30%), and dyscognitive type seizures (two patients, 10%).

When the EEG background wave was analyzed, seven patients (35%) showed a normal background rhythm, whereas 11 patients (55%) showed a GSW background pattern. The most common EEG patterns during sleep were focal CSWS patterns ≥85%, which occurred in 10 patients (50%), and generalized CSWS patterns ≥85%, which occurred in six patients (20%).

The most effective treatment for seizure in acquired aphasia was KD, followed by steroid administration, with 100% and 90% treatment efficacy, respectively. We also analyzed the relationship between EEG background pattern and treatment efficacy. VPA was used in a total of 18 patients and showed a positive effect in 10 of these 18 patients (56%). In patients with GSW EEG background activity, five of 10 patients (50%) were effectively treated with VPA, and in patients with normal EEG background activity, five of six patients (83.3%) were effectively treated with VPA. LEV was used in 11 patients, of which seven patients were effectively treated by the drug. In patients with normal background EEG, LEV was effective at treating all three patients it was prescribed to. In patients with GSW EEG background, LEV was effective in treating four of six patients. Ten patients who did not respond to AEDs were treated with steroids, nine patients (90%) showed a response to treatment. Ten patients who had a recurrence after steroid therapy and did not respond to AEDs were treated with KD, 10 patients (100%) showed a response to treatment. Steroids were used in patients with normal EEG background (n = 4), GSW (n = 5), and FSW (n = 1). In the case of GSW background rhythm, all five patients were effectively treated for the clinical seizure. Similarly, the one patient with FSW was also effectively handled for the clinical seizure by steroid administration. KD was used in patients with normal (n = 3), disorganized (n = 1), and GSW (n = 6) EEG backgrounds, and was effective in treating all patients (Table 1). In the comparison of treatment effect on seizure and the frequency of SWS, VPA was
an effective treatment in five of 10 patients (50%) with ≥ 85% focal SWS, whereas LEV was effective in one of three patients (33.3%) with ≥ 85% focal SWS. Steroids were an effective treatment for five of six patients (83.3%) and KD was effective in five of five patients (100%) with ≥ 85% focal SWS. In the case of ≥ 85% of generalized SWS, VPA was effective in four of six patients (66.7%), LEV was effective in three of five patients (60%), and both steroid and KD were effective in four of four patients (100%). The above contents are summarized in Table 1.

We compared neuropsychological function with EEG background activity and the frequency of SWS in acquired aphasia. Ten patients had normal neuropsychological function and 10 patients had delayed function. In patients with normal neuropsychological function, six patients (60%) showed normal EEG background and four patients (40%) showed GSW EEG background. In patients with normal EEG background, six patients (85.7%) showed normal neuropsychological function, and one patient (14.3%) showed delayed function. EEG background activity showed a GSW pattern in seven patients (70%) with delayed neuropsychological function. We also analyzed the correlation between pattern and frequency of SWS and neuropsychological function. There were 14 patients with focal abnormalities; those included SWS ≥ 85% pattern and six patients with generalized SWS ≥ 85% on EEG. Seven patients (50%) with focal abnormality on EEG showed mild to moderate neuropsychological abnormality and seven patients (50%) with focal abnormality were normal neuropsychological function and three patients (50%) with generalized SWS ≥ 85% were normal cognitive function. However, one patient (17%) generalized SWS ≥ 85% on EEG showed severe neuropsychological abnormality (Fig. 1).

This is the only patient with severe cognitive impairment in this study. In 10 patients with abnormal neuropsychological function, the functional improvement was seen in nine cases with non-generalized background rhythm or focal epileptiform discharges in EEG but neither GSW of EEG background nor generalized CSWS patients showed functional improvement (Table 2).

**Discussion**

Our results show similar onset age of clinical symptoms to that reported by the existing literature [13]. In this study, the most common age of aphasia onset was 4 years, and the period of clinical seizure was most commonly 2 to 4 years of age. In our study, most patients with aphasia showed ≥ 85% CSWS, more commonly exhibiting focal CSWS patterns than the generalized

---

**Table 1.** The effect of treatment for clinical seizure on EEG patterns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Normal (n = 7)</th>
<th>DO (n = 1)</th>
<th>GSW (n = 11)</th>
<th>FSW (n = 1)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Focal CSWS ≥ 85% (n = 10)</th>
<th>Focal CSWS 50%–84% (n = 2)</th>
<th>Generalized CSWS ≥ 85% (n = 6)</th>
<th>Focal abnormality (n = 2)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VPA</td>
<td>5/6 (83.3)</td>
<td>0/1 (0)</td>
<td>5/10 (50)</td>
<td>0/1 (0)</td>
<td>10/18 (56)</td>
<td>5/10 (50)</td>
<td>1/1 (100)</td>
<td>4/6 (66.7)</td>
<td>0/1 (0)</td>
<td>10/18 (55.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEV</td>
<td>5/6 (83.3)</td>
<td>0/1 (0)</td>
<td>4/6 (66.7)</td>
<td>0/1 (0)</td>
<td>7/11 (64)</td>
<td>1/3 (33.3)</td>
<td>2/2 (100)</td>
<td>3/5 (60)</td>
<td>1/1 (100)</td>
<td>7/11 (63.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroid</td>
<td>3/4 (75)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5/5 (100)</td>
<td>1/1 (100)</td>
<td>9/10 (90)</td>
<td>5/6 (83.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4/4 (100)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9/10 (90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD</td>
<td>3/3 (100)</td>
<td>1/1 (100)</td>
<td>6/6 (100)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10/10 (100)</td>
<td>5/5 (100)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4/4 (100)</td>
<td>1/1 (100)</td>
<td>10/10 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as number (%). EEG, electroencephalogram; CSWS, continuous spike and wave during sleep; DO, disorganized; GSW, generalized slowing; FSW, focal slowing; VPA, valproate; LEV, levetiracetam; KD, ketogenic diet.
The correlation between EEG background activity and treatment for clinical seizure was difficult to find. However, when patients with ≥ 85% focal CSWS and patients with ≥ 85% generalized CSWS were compared, it was found that overall the latter responded well to treatment. Among the treatments considered, steroid administration and KD was found to be most effective, consistent with previous studies [14-16]. The results also show, using two different AEDs, VPA and LEV, that patients with normal EEG background activity show greater treatment response, and those AEDs were more effective in patients with generalized CSWS patterns than focal patterns. The efficacy for clinical seizure patients with CSWS > 85% on EEG and the generalized pattern seems to be better. However, the therapeutic effect of LEV for clinical seizure showed a better effect in less than 84% CSWS and simple focal abnormality of EEG epileptiform pattern. So, we propose keeping in mind the epileptiform pattern of EEG when treating clinical seizures with acquired aphasia.

At diagnosis, 50% of patients showed normal neuropsychological function, while 45% showed mild to moderate delayed function. Among the patients with normal neurological function, 60% showed normal EEG background, whereas 70% of patients with delayed neurological function showed GSW EEG background. Therefore, the results suggest that EEG background activity is related to neuropsychological function in patients with CSWS. Among the patients with delayed function, one patient showed no improvement following treatment. In the relationship between prognosis of neuropsychological function and EEG, we found that the generalized pattern on EEG got a poor outcome than other patterns and the patients with focal CSWS patterns were usually mildly delayed, whereas those with generalized CSWS patterns were severely delayed. Through these results, we could consider that the generalized pattern in the EEG affects cognitive function and would suggest that the EEG background pattern may help predict the prognosis of neuropsychological function.

We could consider that the EEG pattern will be helpful in the treatment of clinical seizure and prediction for neuropsychological prognosis in acquired aphasia. However, this study has a limitation due to a small number of patients identified in a single center. Additional studies will be needed in the future to elucidate this relationship further.
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Table 2. The relationship between neuropsychological functional outcome and EEG patterns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Static or aggravation</th>
<th>Improved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EEG background patterns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal EEG (n = 1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO EEG (n = 1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSW EEG (n = 7)</td>
<td>1 (14.3)</td>
<td>6 (85.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSW EEG (n = 1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epileptiform discharge patterns during sleep on EEG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal CSWS ≥ 85% (n = 5)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal CSWS 50%-84% (n = 1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized CSWS ≥ 85% (n = 3)</td>
<td>1 (33.3)</td>
<td>2 (66.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal abnormality (n = 1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as number (%).
EEG, electroencephalogram; DO, disorganized; GSW, generalized slowing; FSW, focal slowing; CSWS, continuous spike and wave during sleep.
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